

RESCUING THE WORLD'S ECONOMY

by Lyndon H. LaRouche, Jr.

September 30, 2009

During June 1987, I had repeatedly forecast, publicly, that unless certain remedial actions were taken during the Summer of that year, the first weeks of that October would see a stock-exchange crisis comparable to 1929. It happened exactly as, and when I had forecast such an event to occur.

Now, with the advent of this month of October, the entirety of the planet Earth will have entered the "count-down" phase of what has been pending as a far greater threat of an international economic breakdown-crisis, than even that of Europe's Fourteenth-century "New Dark Age." This would come to be, unless prevented now, a general breakdown-crisis of the entire planet, a period of deadly crisis, which would be fairly estimated to be continued over a span of two or more generations to come.

*The current process leading from the development of October 1987 **back then**, to this now immediate, far greater danger, was set into motion, **back then**, during the October 1987 crisis more than twenty years ago, by the combined effects of, first, the appointment of Alan Greenspan to the post of Chairman of the Federal Reserve System, and, second, the installation of Greenspan's implicitly atrocious legalization of that swindle known as "financial derivatives."*

*Now, the most crucial point of this present moment of Autumn 2009, is to be traced from the present moment, back to July-September 2007, **since when**, the world as a whole had already entered, not a mere "1929 style" stock-market crash, but, the crucial "break-down phase" of a world monetary system polluted by the product of Alan Greenspan's great swindle, a swindle which has led into the present most critical phase of a threatened plunge of the entirety of this planet, **as if simultaneously**, into a new dark age. This would be a dark age which, unless stopped soon, could quickly become far worse in its ultimate effects, than the "New Dark Age" of Europe's Fourteenth Century.*

***Today**, this present state of affairs, also requires that we must recognize that moment, of the October 1987, 1929-style, Wall Street financial depression, as the presently retrospective point in past time, from which what must be chosen to represent the conception of an immediate reform of the world system, **must now proceed, as if retroactively.**¹*

In that way, by that strict emphasis on the pivotal turning-point of the October 1987 crash, we may avoid, as much as possible, the Herculean chore of attempting to clear away, retrospectively, the physical-economic effects of those errors dating, specifically, from points earlier than October 1987.

Except as we must proceed by resuming the constitutional standpoint, and outlook for U.S. strategic policy extant immediately prior to developments beginning April 13, 1945, we must be content to do as much cleansing as can not be avoided, within the bounds marked out by October 1987. Other intended improvements in practiced doctrine will be required, but those must now, usually, be postponed until the course of improvements made at some time after the most essential, initial rescue-actions have been securely put into place.

*Here, then, below, is the outline of the essential points of the immediately needed plan for the actions to be taken on behalf of our planet's immediate economic recovery. For the present moment, the mission now must be the crafting of the foundations of that subsuming dynamic of policy-shaping which is the **now** most immediately essential foundation for the near to medium term, in the presently tempestuous, immediate future of mankind.*

A Preface:

THE OUTLINE OF THE RESCUE PLAN

So, the world as a whole has now entered what would be, unless corrected very soon, a process of general breakdown of the entire's world economy, a breakdown which would bring on a protracted period of genocidal effects of breakdown of the economies of every part of this world for a generation or more yet to come.

This already onrushing catastrophe, if it continues along its present course, would soon be expressed as a plunge into a very deep and prolonged new dark age for, not some, but all of the nations and territories of this planet. For as long as the present monetarist form of financial system is continued, there would never be a recovery of the economies of the leading, or other nations of the planet. All chatter alleging some more or less spontaneous recovery of the present monetarist system, at any time in the future, is either fraud, even outright lies, or, merely wishful self-delusion.

The key to understanding the intent and nature of the adoption of a feasible plan for a preventive rescue of the nations from such a presenting onrushing catastrophe, depends upon understanding the absolute urgency of shutting down the world's present monetary system, for its replacement, as if instantly, and globally, by a world-wide credit-system consistent with the original intent of the U.S. Federal Constitution. This would be a system which is to be modeled on the proven principle expressed by the precedent of that "Hamiltonian" principle embedded in the heart of the creation of the U.S. Federal Constitution.

Any contrary policy would ensure the full-throated, and, almost certainly, an early onset of a prolonged, planetary new dark age of all peoples and nations.

That indispensable, pro-Hamilton reform already rooted and still waiting within the body of that U.S. Federal Constitution, would cancel all of those specifically fraudulent forms of monetarist debt which have been crafted since the very significantly relevant assassination of President John F. Kennedy. However, for the moment of the present global emergency, the emphasis must be placed on immediately uprooting the presently fraudulent general practices in the world's international markets, a reform to be accomplished by means of **the morally lawful action of**

cancelling those merely nominal, largely speculative financial obligations, which do not meet the U.S.A.'s now historic Franklin Roosevelt-era reform, the Glass-Steagall standard for commercial banking, a reform which, other problems aside, had been so marvelously successful in defending our banking system in its assigned function, for as long as Glass-Steagall remained in full force, prior to the relevant evil perpetrated by the scheming of Larry Summers.

What the British empire has done, in concert with the wretched behaviorists associated with the current U.S. Obama administration, and by the evil influence on that administration of the shamelessly lying former British Prime Minister Tony Blair, has been to push the U.S.A. which was already at the brink of a national emergency in July 2007, into a becoming a hopelessly bankrupt shambles, as this had now been done over the period from actions, such as those launched in association with U.S. Representative Barney Frank, and others, in September 2007 and continued as a wrecking-game under the present Obama administration, to the present day.

The need for that cleansing process to rid us of that alien British influence expressed, most emphatically, under the George W. Bush, Jr. and Barack Obama Presidencies, will continue to the point, that we had eliminated the putatively legalized existence of the world's intrinsically imperialist, principal monetarist systems, and, had thus established the purified medium of a concert of cooperating national credit-systems among a growing majority of sovereign nation-states.

The latter reform will provide the capability for reviving the physical economy of the world, that accomplished through a system of long-term credit used as the basis for a fixed-exchange-rate system of utterance of long-term credit, a system used for restoring a real net physical economic growth. This mission will be accomplished by using a credit-system modeled on the specifications of the original U.S. Federal Constitution, and by operating internationally, in a range of an annual 1.5-2.0 percent, simple interest charge, under the strict enforcement of a fixed-exchange-rate credit-system of the type implicit in the relevant provisions of the U.S. Federal Constitution. This must be done in all relevant categories of long-term capital-improvements loans, that done under an rigorously fixed-exchange-rate system which had been freed from further interference by the characteristically usurious practices of all monetary systems, that of the British empire most notably.

The result of that most crucial reform, must become the establishing of a system of credit as so defined by the U.S. Federal Constitution, as opposite to all monetary systems. The new world credit system, must be one which is already mandated by the U.S. Federal Constitution, but has been recently violated in the most atrocious degree since Alan Greenspan succeeded Paul Volcker in the Federal Reserve System. The ordering of practice according to the Constitutional specification of our national system being a credit-system, rather than a monetarist system, which is to be brought back into being in that way, and to that end, and which is a reform to be shared, through sovereign treaty-agreements, by means of agreements premised on the principled goal of a fixed exchange-rate parity among the member-nation-states of a system composed of what must be recognized under international law as perfectly sovereign nation-state republics sharing a common lawful commitment to a credit-system, rather than a monetary system.

To reach that area of security, a number of crucially important hurdles must be mastered, each and all initiated by a cooperating group from among the world's most powerful nations: the United States of America, Russia, China, and India, but also bringing in willing other nations as also key partners and participants in the launching of the new world credit-system composed of nations which each, themselves base their economic life on the functions of a credit-system, and repelling of all monetary systems.

The object of this reform, from the start, must be to restore increasingly capital-intensive, increasing energy-flux-density dense modes in agriculture, manufactures, and basic economic infrastructure, and national health-care systems akin to the historical U.S. Hill-Burton standard, as the standard for the practice of the increase of the net physical productive powers of labor, per capita, and per square kilometer, in and among the nations of the world.

There are three crucial, strategic reasons why only a leading, four-power agreement among, specifically, the U.S.A., Russia, China, and India, must be the core of the initiating group.

First, obviously, without nations representing a bloc of sufficient power to overrule the threat to such a reform represented by the present British empire, the situation for humanity were presently hopeless.

Second, the degeneration of all of western and central Europe under the conditionalities imposed, on behalf of the proposed Euro, through the joint action of Prime Minister Margaret Thatcher, President Francois Mitterrand, and President George H.W. Bush, Jr., have destroyed the national sovereignties, and the economies of continental western and central Europe, to such a degree that that section of Europe is, for the moment, utterly impotent for effecting the initiation of any competent reform of the type required. After the "back of the British imperial system" has been broken, a freer atmosphere will finally come to exist in the world, and abominations such as the "Euro" can be undone successfully.

Third, when the asymmetrical characteristics of the four presently leading and legitimate world powers have been taken into account, and when other nations likely to join immediately are taken into account, this concert of remedial action represents a great part of the population and territory of the world, but, at the same time, expresses those dissimilarities among the four principal nations which, in and of themselves, assure a relative universality of a quality of true common interest expressed as that of four and more initiating powers.

Furthermore, any scheme which did not feature the United States as a leading part of the effort, would be an attempted cure worse than the disease. Without the inherently credit-system-based design of the U.S. Federal Constitution, any effort at reform undertaken by other nations, would turn out to have been, indeed, a cure worse than the disease.

The aim of this choice of process of initiating the urgently needed, global reform, is to eliminate those inappropriate policies and practices associated, most typically, with the role of the United Kingdom as the pivotal instrument of that imperial system of monetarism, a system, formally established, implicitly, by the February 1763 Peace of Paris, a system which established a form of imperialist tyranny which has been the traditional imperialism reigning among

the principal ancient through modern maritime empires, since the period of the relevant folly of the Peloponnesian War.

Monetarism has been, in turn, the principal source of the practices which have brought the planet's relations among peoples and nations, into that state of planet-wide moral degeneration, which is, in and of itself, a crime against all humanity, a crime for which the imperialistic United Kingdom and its predatory, monetarist confederates have been the principal proponents of imperialist forms of oppression during recent centuries.

The very notion of an international monetary system, in which that system is controlled by private financial interests which are placed outside and actually above putatively sovereign nation-states, is the form of explicitly imperialist, and predatory evil, which has been the origin of the organized evil which has worked, since the death of President Franklin D. Roosevelt, to undermine our United States, and to perpetuate a combination of old and more recent forms of imperialist/colonialist evils upon the greater number of nations of the planet, including present special effects of this process on our United States itself.

There could be no honest and competent debate of the nature of the systemic abuses built into the history of modern monetarist systems of our planet until the monetarist system itself has been uprooted from the dominant economic systems of the world.

It is important for leaders of nations, as also others, to recognize, despite the widespread use of mere chroniclers of the isolated fact, to replace actual historians, that the only form of actual imperialism existing in the world as a whole today, is the expression of the actual British empire of the present day as an incarnation of a global monetarist system which employs the hoax of "free trade" to render nations subject to predatory monetary pirates, pirates which are relatively free of the restraints which might otherwise be available to nominally sovereign governments.

The British empire, for example, is not an empire of the British population, but of a nest of virtually free-booting, component powers, who rule and rape the nations and peoples of the world, and who reign, according to the medieval and modern Venetian tradition, but with aid of post-office addresses at London's Threadneedle Street and Buckingham Palace.

It were fairly said, that there can be no true sovereignty of any nation, over which the imperial presence of a free-trade form of monetary system is permitted to roam, especially such a system employed as a mechanism of globalization.

All empires whose origins have been rooted in the Mediterranean maritime tradition since the Peloponnesian War, have been the tradition of rule of empire over kingdoms and other political entities, which has reigned, with some sundry changes of costume and dialects, over and beyond Europe itself, up to the present day. It is only nations which have sovereign credit-systems, and are not subject to rules crafted by monetarist institutions, which are the only truly free nations; the others are, essentially, the monetarists' prey. The worst, most dastardly of all imperial tyrannies, is the victim's own submission to the drugged-like worship of what is called "free trade." That is exactly how the United

States and its citizens have been raped, again, and yet again, and ever more and more, by the British empire, and right now, ever since the day that President Franklin Roosevelt died, on April 12, 1945..

The putative alternative, the prospect of continued life under any other form of monetarism, whatever, or whoever the participants might be, at this time, will become the outcome of a remedy far worse than the disease. That is to emphasize, that monetarism in any form, is the disease which would be fatal to civilization, globally, under any attempt at continuation of the conditions of the present, conjunctural, global, breakdown-crisis.

Admittedly, many influentials will argue that the possibility of the reforms which I demand, is far distant, if, indeed, they would ever be accepted. That mistaken view overlooks the reality by mistaking today's habituated, popular fashions for the voice of eternity. That mistake is rooted in ignorance of a certain higher principle, that of social dynamics, governing the rules of behavior which override any explicit agreements on practice within a society, as the poet Percy Bysshe Shelley emphasized the relevant principle of dynamics, in the conclusion of his **A Defence of Poetry**. Without a rather prompt adoption of precisely those reforms toward which I have pointed here, the continued existence of what has recently passed for civilization, is to be doubted. In any serious crisis, the populations of the world would tend *to wish to seek out* a choice under which civilization survives. Nonetheless, under present global conditions, the present world monetarist system, is not the patient to be cured, but the deadly disease to be contained, and exterminated.

Therefore, all extant treaty-agreements which would tend to prevent, or impede this most urgently needed reform, must be placed on the block for preemptive elimination, as the relevant conflict of interest prescribes the need for such remedies as solutions.

In such proceedings, all matters of economic policy and practice, which should be now considered as immoral or foolish, because they are expressions of monetarist systems, should be subject to eradication, whether they are of relatively recent vintage, or as hoary as the practice of prostitution itself.

The reform, of the form which had become known as the Glass-Steagall reform of commercial banking, which was accomplished under the Administration of U.S. President Franklin Roosevelt, is from its fresh outset, again, today, the reform which must be immediately re-introduced to the U.S. System as a starting-point for launching any competent attempt at general recovery of the world's economy today.

Without that action, it would be impossible to clear away the worthless, and also intrinsically fraudulent trash, such as financial derivatives. It would be impossible to restore those private banking institutions of regulated commercial banking, on which the launching of a general recovery through the mechanisms of an orderly system of the type of U.S. Constitutional Federal public credit depends. The restoration of the Glass-Steagall statute for the area of commercial banking and related institutions, a restoration conducted within the United States, under that same principle of Federal constitutional law, must be immediate, and also retroactive in its effect, wherever the terrible moral flaw of the suspension of that provision, is to be recognized, as was recognized, indeed, in the original adoption of the Glass-Steagall legislation.

In the case of the U.S.A. itself, we require the immediate restoration of a clean commercial banking system: for, without it, it would be impossible to establish the presently indispensable platform of a system of private, commercial credit. Without it, there would be a lack of means for the effective employment of Federal Government credit in recovery of the afflicted states and other communities, a system could not be brought into that state of economic vitality which the catastrophes caused by the 1987-2009 interval of incompetence in the general practice of economic law, had taken away.

This specific expression of systemic incompetence, has been expressed with special force over that interval, since the so-called international "reforms" of the period from the February-March 1968 reforms of the Bretton Woods agreements, to that 1971-72 destruction of the last, tattered vestiges of the Bretton Woods system, a destruction which has transformed Americans, increasingly, into virtual British slaves, since combination of the 1971 disavowal of the Bretton System, by the Nixon Administration, and the great Saudi-British petroleum spot-market hoax which soon followed.

"The Right to Life" As Economic Science

This brings us to the subject of the true nature of human behavior.

In all these and kindred matters, the notion of *equity* is located essentially in the service of the socially necessary human rights of the individual person, that in respect to all matters, that according to the specifically Leibnizian U.S. constitutional requirements of the notions of the rights of the individual to *life, liberty, and the pursuit of happiness*, as echoed in that fundamental principle of all that morally decent law which was presented as implicit in both the U.S. 1776 Declaration of Independence, and as affirmed in principle in the Preamble of the U.S. Federal Constitution.

On this account, because of the corrupting influences of British imperial "behaviorist" and related immorality, as experienced since the "age of (typically Liberal) depravity" associated with the name of whiggish (and some would say, "piggish" Prime Minister Walpole and the fraudulent South Sea Island and related, financial-derivatives-like frauds of that time, we must now emphasize the following.

We must emphasize that the rights of the living human individual, pertain to those aspects of his or her existence which are defined, in functional terms of benefits to society, in the continuing role of the existing individual personality, *even when the person is deceased*. This course of action must be executed to such effect, that the contributions of useful inventions and the shaping of advances in culture contributed as discoveries, or enhancements contributed by individual persons, live on, as a special class of ideas, in an efficient way, that within accumulated foundations of society, even after the personality who made such a contribution, is deceased. This is to emphasize those rights and powers of the human personality, which are absent in the beasts, are human rights, which inhere, as in the sometimes theological concept of *a simultaneity of eternity*, as the essential immortality of the human individual member of the Noösphere, as a quality of existence functionally distinct from merely that which the once living mortal body of that now deceased person had inhabited while alive.²

This point is illustrated by pointing out, that while great thinkers and others have made unique contributions to the valid discovery of one or more principles, the possibility of such achievements is not rooted in some isolable feature of the individual as such, but in an implicitly, immortally continuing process of development of what is conveniently named human culture, a process in which the unique acts of participation within a process of development, can be more or less readily traced, as in the case of modern European science, from the legacy of the *Sphaerics* of the Pythagoreans and Plato, and their now-ancient followers of that continuing intellectual current, through the resurgence of that legacy by such as Dante Alighieri and by the followers of the contributions of the Fifteenth-century, Florentine-based Renaissance of that Cardinal Nicholas of Cusa who has served as the marker of all competent physical science in European culture's history since that time.

This notion, of rejecting any merely kinematic notion of cause and effect, in favor of an immortal continuity of a process of forward-moving creative development of both mankind and of our universe, development as a dynamic process, defines a process thus subsuming the still living, continuously re-created benefits of the works of true genius over the span of centuries and millennia.

This view locates the individual creative mind as implicitly an integral part of a continuing, virtually immortal, creative process. In the course of such a dynamic mode of the creative process, the uniquely sovereign impetus of discoveries unique to the creative individual personality, exemplifies the distinction of the immortality of the human creative personality from the life of the beasts. This distinction is demonstrated for any developed mind which has grasped the true meaning of that principle of dynamics which subsumes, categorically, all true human progress as located within the indispensably defining relationship of true science and Classical artistic composition and performance.

That emphasis is essential as an alternative to what have become the widespread, depraved contemporary intellectual trends of today. This is to be emphasized out of respect for the fact of the spread of that influence of behaviorism and the related cults of existentialism, which have denied the right to life, as this has been done in a savage fashion, under current, Nazi-like, British (e.g., Tony Blair) so-called behaviorist health-care and related law: a depravity which inheres in indifference to the sacred nature of the living human personality. The implicitly criminal, even frankly Satanic application of "culling the human herd," a practice intrinsic to both Nazi and British behaviorist ideology, requires us to crack down with whatever force is required from us, to defend that intellectual right to human life, rather than tolerating the depraved, virtually bestial kind of individual life of the existentialist, a depravity which offends an inherently sacred right of the human personality under all decent man-made law.

The deeper quality of such essential implications is assigned as the subject of the opening chapter of the main body of this present report.

So, it follows, that we must resume the urgent task, on behalf of all past, present, and future humanity: to liberate mankind from the confines and vicissitudes inherent in a perpetual captivity within the prison-like bounds of our present home planet. We must free humanity to dwell in that simultaneity of a physically relativistic eternity, a future

condition which is to be recognized by us as a created, relativistic physical space-time, among the constellations of our universe: as beings whose profession is that of man and woman in the likeness and service of the Creator, must do.

I. HUMAN CREATIVITY AND HISTORY

When that great intellect of the Fifteenth-Century Renaissance, the founder of the competent currents of modern European science, Cardinal Nicholas of Cusa, had perceived, that the excellent work of the great ecumenical Council of Florence was grievously and systemically menaced by the persistence of the imperial, oligarchical cultural heritages of Europe. He had therefore proposed, that those with devotion to the actual accomplishments of European civilization, seek contact with people of continents across the great oceans, to build, there, the foundations for a future order of the affairs of humanity which would be built up, freed from the clutches of European oligarchical traditions, built up, so, in places, across oceans, where civilization might be freed from the oligarchical pollution then menacing humanity in Europe, throughout our planet as a whole.

The mission of these pioneers was not to escape European culture, but to preserve and enrich it, for the benefit of all mankind, in spite of Europe's corruption by its oligarchy. So, the time has now come, that we must now supersede the mission adopted by Christopher Columbus, as did some pioneers of space-travel who compared their mission to that of Columbus. For them, as for us today, the proximate goal of our endless mission, is the development of colonization on the most suitable nearby planet, Mars.

That mission to Mars, is more important for what it requires of us, than its immediate gains. This is because, as the some leading pioneers of the space program emphasized, whatever benefits such a success portends in the immediate future of those pioneers themselves, its greatest achievement for mankind will be that this consideration compels us to develop ourselves as we would never attempt such an achievement without devotion to the challenge of such a mission.

Thus, in order to provide a mode for actually human return flights between Earth and Mars, we must, achieve the mastery of thermonuclear fusion as the only presently conceived means for achieving those accelerated rates of flight between the two planets which deliver the passengers and crew within the relatively brief lapse of time of travel, which are required to assure the arrival of the crew and passengers still in the condition of normal and heathy human beings. There are many more scientific challenges of comparable importance in the venture as a whole; but, those are to be regarded as the challenges which are needed to bring the best out of us as a human species.

That is the essential, subsuming distinction of the cultural tradition of the founders of the American System of political-economy, since the arrival of the Mayflower and the Winthrops and Mathers, a great enterprise rooted in the devotion to the same cause as that of the principal founder of all competent strains of modern European science, Nicholas of Cusa.

This explicit intention of a then deceased Nicholas of Cusa was adopted, approximately A.D. 1480, as a mission, by the great navigator Christopher Columbus, a Columbus who acted so on the authorities of both his accomplishments as a navigator within the Atlantic region, and by the counsel of the surviving companions of a leading founder of all competent modern European science, Cardinal Nicholas of Cusa.

The continuing influence of such oligarchical pollution as that, first by the Habsburg imperial dynasty, and, then, its British successor among the followers of Paolo Sarpi, had been combined, in effect, with the ongoing religious warfare of the 1492-1648 interval. This oligarchical corruption of modern European civilization, had spoiled much of the colonization of the central and southern region of the continent of the Americas.

Thus, as if by that default in the Iberian effort of the Habsburg-polluted influences upon the Sixteenth and Seventeenth centuries and beyond, the combined efforts of the Mayflower compact and the founding of the Massachusetts Bay Colony led by the Winthrops and Mathers, established a settlement containing the explicitly definable seeds of the later U.S. Declaration of Independence. With that came the historical factor of the unique system of American economy, and the Federal Constitution, as a new nation, carrying all that was good which they could find in past and present of that time's culture of Europe, but also freed of those oligarchical legacies of monetarist empires which continue to pollute the history of the world to the presently ruinous days.

In the course of time, the great pilot accomplishments of the New England society, into 1687, had been greatly spoiled for a time, again, from 1688-89 on, by the advent of the depraved and rapacious forms of oppression imposed by the reigns of James II and the Anglo-Dutch reign of William and Mary. Nonetheless, the legacy of the pre-1688 New England of the Winthrops and Mathers, was resumed by the sponsors and followers of the leadership shown by a Benjamin Franklin who became the principal agent of the effort to combine the best of European culture of Gottfried Leibniz, with the building up of a new form of republic in what became our United States. From the beginnings of the New England colony, to the present-day continuation of the intention expressed by the Federal Constitution of the United States, the constitutional principle on which the U.S. republic is founded, is the principle of a credit-system, in opposition to the intrinsically oligarchical monetarist imperialism typical of Europe, still to the present day.

Thus, the world since that time, to the present day, has orbited around the essentially systemic conflict between two English-language cultures, that dominated presently by the oligarchical system of the British empire under the legacy of the February 1763 Peace of Paris, and by the consequent leadership of the private empire known as the British East India Company's Lord Shelburne, and of Shelburne's lackeys such as the depraved Adam Smith and Jeremy Bentham, and by the global, imperialist tradition, which they continue to represent as their legacy, to the present day.

So, the United States, under the leading influence of the current which came to be expressed by Benjamin Franklin, became what became known as "the great melting-pot," wherein the cultures carried from Europe into North America shared their best from European culture, but a culture essentially freed from the foul taint of the modern form of Venetian-directed financier-oligarchical imperialism which came to be centered in the role of London as the

central point of reference of a Venetian style of monetarist imperialism, a imperial interest operating in deadly hatred of the anti-monetarist characteristics of the North American republic.

Nevertheless, the relatively great power embodied in the Anglo-Venetian monetarist tyranny over continental Europe, was enabled thereby to insert the evil kernel of the British East India Company into forming a treasonous nest assembled around the American Tories of the Hartford compact, a nest full of the followers and cronies of British agent and de facto traitor Aaron Burr of the Bank of Manhattan, which were assembled to be what has become the power associated with the notorious "Wall Street" as a powerfully treasonous influence inside our United States. All of the known assassinations of Presidents of the United States, have been done in strategic service of that interest of the Anglo-Venetian, monetarist imperialism also expressed in the existence of what its commonly identified as that monetarist cess-pool of evil known as "Wall Street."

If the planet is to be rescued from the global, planet-wide, new dark age now coming down upon the planet as a whole, that imperial monetarist form now called "globalism," must be expelled from its over-reaching power among the affairs of the nations of this planet.

The time has come, now, at which either the model of the U.S. notion of the sovereignty of national, patriotic credit-systems shall be the keystone of a system of respectively sovereign national cultures which shall jointly rule this planet in a state of equity, or else the world as a whole will now continue its currently accelerating plunge into direction of Hell itself, a Hellish, planet-wide "New Dark Age," which a continuation of the Anglo-Dutch Liberal form of reign by traditionally predatory Venetian monetarism, would ensure.

"A certain man had a dream, last night, that the U.S. Senate had voted up a bill which decreed that the Earth is flat. Denial of that conclusion was judged to be sufficient cause for execution of the defendant. In that dream, the members then arose from their seats to cheer the President of the republic who had proposed this reform. Next week, the House is expected to rule that people are edible; population control will have been achieved."

The situation of a presently onrushing, general economic breakdown-crisis of our entire planet, requires that we re-examine what had been known to us, or should have been known, respecting the principles of civilization operating even prior to certain crucial discoveries which were made during the entire sweep of the Nineteenth and Twentieth Centuries, as from a time beginning with the work in the footsteps of Carl Gauss and Bernhard Riemann, by such as the scientists Max Planck and Albert Einstein, and in the great discoveries which took over much of the entire Twentieth Century's science through the influence of the work of that most celebrated Academician V. I. Vernadsky, especially his crucial work in defining the categorically systemic distinctions among the principled domains of the Lithosphere, Biosphere, and Noösphere.³

On this account, it is of crucially specific importance for any competent attempts at policy-shaping of our United States today, to consider the example of the Massachusetts Bay Colony, in its role until the point in time of the repression it suffered under both James II and William III (of "Orange"). The legacy of the leading families of that Colony, the Winthrops and Mathers, the legacy as transmitted to Benjamin Franklin, typifies that fruit of that

intention of both Cusa and Christopher Columbus, which became the systemic division, from 1763 onward, between the patriotic English-speakers of what became the United States, and the opposing system of imperial tyranny associated with the identity of the specifically British empire which had been first established, on behalf of the Anglo-Dutch British East India Company, by the February 1763 Peace of Paris. What this involved was not a merely frictional conflict, but a deep division in a matter of great principle, between the British empire and our patriots, which developed between 1763 and what emerged later as the Declaration of Independence and still later Federal Constitution,.

To know the ground on which destiny will now be played out, there must be a clear understanding of our republic as being an outcome of that deeper part of European history which had emerged as the maritime culture of those currents of Classical Greece which are to be associated with our memory of the Pythagoreans and Plato.

For that purpose, we most focus on that characteristic division within ancient Greek history celebrated by the playwright Aeschylus' Prometheus Trilogy, between those whose cultural tradition is rooted in the creativity associated with the names of Archytas, Socrates, and Plato, who breathed the fire of human creativity, against those opponents typified by Aeschylus' image of the suppression of scientific progress by the evil Zeus and his oligarchical tyranny. Such, precisely, is the historical root of the essential difference between the parallel case of the American English-speaker and the British (or, brutish) oligarchical imperialist tradition of "globalization" still today.

The difference between the typical American who has developed as a knowledgeable patriot, and "the typical Brit," is variously expressed and concealed by the contrasting implications of their respective uses of the term "tradition," the difference between the developed U.S. citizen's soul, which is driven by a commitment to scientific and related progress in the future conditions of life, and the British yearning, like that of the legendary Olympian Zeus and his courtly crew, for the dead heirlooms of a past which had actually never existed. As a wit might put the point: "the Americans crossed the Atlantic; whereas, the British imperial monarchy double-crossed it."

It is of crucial importance, that today's new leaders of our republican society, must have arisen up and out of the muck-and-mire of what have become the increasingly depraved cultural trends, in trans-Atlantic society since the post-World War II resurgence of that influence of both the British oligarchy and its Wall Street lackeys, that as a moral and intellectual decadence which is expressed as the anti-Roosevelt reaction following death of that great President Franklin Roosevelt.

It was, most notably, a certain, distilled stratum of the first generation born following the death of President Franklin Roosevelt, which was swept up, during its adolescence and beyond, into that post-John F. Kennedy, anarchoid, existentialist fascism of the so-called "New Left" which erupted with full, fascist force across the United States and Europe in Spring 1968; but, it was the influence of the existentialists typified by the rise of the degenerates of the existentialist movement, such as former Nazi Party convert Martin Heidegger and his friends Hannah Arendt and Theodore Adorno, as they were fostered in the post-war period by the European Congress for Cultural Freedom (CCF), which supplied that cultural depravity which the proto-fascist young movement of the "68ers" were to have imbibed.⁴

With the triumphal insolence of those political beneficiaries of the murder of President John Kennedy, who were thus freed to unleash the ruin of the United States in Vietnam, as in the promotion of a war in Afghanistan now, there was a sweeping mood of post-1945 pessimism throughout the Americas and Europe. This paradigm-shift was seized upon among the residue left by the Churchill-Truman-led campaign to attempt to eradicate every semblance of the policies of President Franklin Roosevelt from the affairs of both the internal United States, and, most emphatically, post-Roosevelt global perspectives.

After the assassination of President Kennedy, a new generation of youngsters which are to be associated with a memory of the 1950s' pretensions of middle-class supremacy, and a family's security clearances to match, came boiling to the surface in the ferment which followed President Kennedy's assassination. They asserted a new form of existentialist mood, at first timidly, and, then with greater and greater insolence, on both sides of the Atlantic, en route to their violent eruptions of existentialist frenzy during the Spring of 1968, and, soon after that, the emergence from those youthful ranks of the anti-science, "anti-blue collar," neo-malthusian rabble, from the "Sun Day" ferment of 1970, on.

The residue of normal work-going, post-war adult generation, was stunned with astonishment by what had been, an already ongoing moral and intellectual depravity welling up among the relatively privileged portion of their own children's generation, especially those among that youth generation typified by the relatively more privileged, university strata, during the 1960s. The "dragon's teeth" had sprouted! What was, in fact, a rebirth of fascist mass-ferment, as typified in the U.S.A. by the "68ers" Weatherman cult of that time, was on the march again.

The British oligarchy was mightily pleased. Britain's current revival of Adolf Hitler's "Tiergarten Vier" first step to mass-murder, both in Britain now and its lackeys inside the U.S. Obama government's IMAC scheme today, is exemplified, in fact and clear intention, by the case of the eminently evil and lying former Prime Minister Tony Blair, a child of Satan who has the inevitable, mass murderous, lying insolence to call himself, in the envied likeness of the evil Grand Inquisitor, "a Christian."

This neo-Malthusian neo-fascism, sprung from the trans-Atlantic ranks of the so-called "Sixty-Eighters," typifies the intention of the British imperial ruling oligarchy of today. That neo-Malthusian, Hitler-echoing trend in "health-care" and matching "green" policy, as in today's U.S. Government today, is the great immediate threat to all humanity, the threat to the very essence of what might be honestly defined as civilization, especially its threat against the civilization of the United States' Constitution, throughout the world today.

Therefore, the leading task before all sane and moral people of this planet, is the eradication of that greatest of all existing threats to humanity, world-wide, typified by the British monetarist empire of today.

It is indispensable, of course, that that form of moral corruption in high places be defeated, that in a thorough-going manner, now. But that, if considered alone, however necessary, is not sufficient in and of itself.

The misery which these neo-malthusian and related policies of practice, have wreaked upon the majority of the citizens of our own and nations, world-wide, since 1968, until today, demands a fundamental, and also rapid change in direction of expressed trends in physical economy, world-wide, now. This fact is complemented by the urgency of unleashing a highly energized practice of growth of the physical economy of each nation, that done by means of commitment to accelerated rates of scientific progress in the condition of basic economic infrastructure and the progress of production in agriculture, manufacturing, and machine-tool design, world-wide, now.

The fact is, that world as a whole has been the victim of a general trend downward in the potential for maintaining even the existing level population of the world as a whole, and of each among all nations. This condition has developed most conspicuously, and at a generally accelerating rate of net attrition, since the crash in maintenance of existing levels of even basic economic infrastructure, as in the U.S.A. itself, since about 1967-1968.

That moral and economic decline now rampant, must be considered now in the light of two savagely self-destructive trends in prevalent opinion, even among the matured upper political-influentials of the U.S.A. and Europe today.

Knowing Real History

What has been presented here thus far, brings our attention to the most crucial roots of the defining conflicts within European civilization and its legacies, as traced to the present U.S. and global crisis of the presently perilous moment in the broad sweep of humanity up to the present instant. This matter is, as I shall now illustrate as follows, is no deviation from the urgent practical task of rescuing an endangered world civilization now.

To understand both the best and worst of European civilization, in particular, it is important, culturally and strategically, to emphasize a certain change in the dominant culture of the region surrounding the Mediterranean Sea, which emerged historically with decline and fall of the Asian Achaemenid empire's attempts to overrun the littoral and seas of the Mediterranean region. Whereas, the tendency of the Mediterranean littoral's dominant maritime culture, which was based in the cooperation of Egypt, Ionia, the Etruscans, against the Mediterranean's predatory Tyre, was complemented by the role of the inserted Cult of Delphi, a Delphi oracle which implanted those relatively fatal seeds of corruption which had led into the tragedy of the Peloponnesian War.

In particular, the combination of the Delphi Apollo-Dionysus cult based in Delphi, and Delphi's associated monetarist interests, represent the background for that Peloponnesian War, through which so-called Greek culture suffered a great strategic and moral decline. Despite that, a still potent, but not homogeneous force, remained, within Greek and Egyptian cultures, a continuing legacy which contributed greatly to the dominant influence of the remnants of Classical Greek culture expressed within what virtually all of the leading accomplishments of European maritime culture have expressed within globally extended European civilization, until now.

The immediate outcome of the aftermath of that folly known as the Peloponnesian War, was the development in the Mediterranean littoral, of what was explicitly defined, formally, as based on what was stipulated as *an oligarchical*

principle expressed in the form of what has been a predominantly Mediterranean maritime culture since that time. I mean a legacy of European culture permeated with maritime-cultural roots, even deep inland, still today.

The conflict between what had been, on the one side, the culture centered on the legacy of Thales, the Pythagoreans, Socrates, and Plato, and, on the opposing side, the Delphi cult's anti-scientific-progress cult of Aristotle and Euclid, has been the most stubbornly persistent source of the conflicts within globally extended European civilization, since the period of the Plato versus Aristotle conflict, up through the present day.

The Corruption!

This means, in political and related practice, that a person fit to be called an historian, is one whose approach to comprehension of the meaning of present-day conflicts is rooted in not much less than six thousand years of the relatively better known cultural characteristics of human political-cultural development, as contrasted with those mere anecdotalists who are essentially chroniclers, or accountants, rather than actually historians.

It is the practice of law, by those who are illiterates in the matter of a competent practice of history, rather than a patchwork of chronicled anecdotes, which is among the principal sources of evil in respect of law, even so-called "constitutional law." among putatively civilized nations today.

The competent historian is essentially an epistemologist whose approach to any portion of history being considered, provides the indispensable moral standard for the study and telling of history, as that history of ideas which can not be efficiently understood except by aid of the labor of an epistemologist who treats ideas, not as isolatable phenomena, as if virtually born, *de novo*, only yesterday, or in anecdotes told by some grandfathers to their descendants; but, history as having a certain kind of evolving immortal life of its own, in an eternal history of the development of ideas. Within that setting, we should encounter the forces which control the conditions of a mental life within whose self-evolving bounds, the continuing actual histories of societies and their conflicts are shaped by the willful actions of mankind.

All competent history, and all competent strategy, exist essentially within the domain of the long historical process in the development of ideas, a process which continues endlessly, even to future colonies among parts of this galaxy, as the chief influence on the behavior of both individual persons, in nations, and among nations, today.

The teacher who says to students: "Today, we shall learn the following, for which you will be responsible in the test tomorrow," is a sophist, not one prepared to confront the class with a serious treatment of actual ideas. Take away such a teacher's pack of besmudged index-cards and textbooks, and he may be suddenly rendered a babbling and utterly confused fool, like the caught-out, fictional Wizard of Oz.

So, as we are confronted by a great, menacing, global crisis for all humanity now, the essential requirement of leadership is that the would-be leader, at any level of leadership in society, must live in a sense of currently ongoing vital history, as I have summarily described that just now. If we do not know how that what are foolishly considered to

be our presently firm opinions, were formed, since ancient Rome, we know really little to nothing about ourselves, and are, therefore, likely prey for any charlatan who dumps some heap of hastily made-up, silly popularized sophistry upon us.

To illustrate that point, consider the following argument by me, which should be repeated in advising those who are confronted with the misguided policies of General David Petraeus and his associate General Stanley McChrystal.

Afghanistan, For Example

Consider the principal habit of military folly into which the U.S.A. has made, repeatedly, since the death of President Franklin Roosevelt, has been lured, since the death of President Franklin Roosevelt, as by British influence, into ruinous, unnecessary, and prolonged land wars in Asia. The Iago-like ruse employed by British circles devoted to a long-range perspective of bringing our nation down, is to convince the U.S. government that it must engage in what should be foreseeable as yet another, long, wasting, ultimately worse than useless war in Asia. The essential bit of folly in all of these instances, has been the perfectly stupid belief among many of those U.S. military officials, for example, who lacked the sense which Generals of the Armies MacArthur and Eisenhower expressed in warning President Kennedy against yet another counterproductive land-war in Asia. Then, the President Kennedy who blocked the launching of that war, was killed, and the President's terrorized successor submitted to the "Gulf of Tonkin" fraud. So, the mightiest nation of this planet, was induced to become self-destroyed.

The present folly of President Barack Obama in the Southwest Asia cockpit, is typical of Presidents duped by Generals whose ill-thought propensity for warfare lead many misguided nations into those traps of protracted land wars in Asia. A British initiative, as in the region of west Asia, is typical of the way in which a trap for credulous Americans is baited, again, and again, and yet again.

The point of my presenting such special topics at this time, here, is that, frequently, in such cases, the root of the folly of the relevant government officials of the United States, was their lack of an informed good sense of real history. That specific type of intellectual and moral weakness among us, when exploited by our republic's principal, permanent enemy, the British Empire, has expressed by the often amazing display or sheer stupidity, or worse, among those of our leaders who have no actually competent sense of the proper meaning of the word "history."

That is the type of potentially fatal stupidity among our nation's incumbent leaders, which must be exposed at the chief source of the danger which is caused, essentially, by our own leading circles' habitual ignorance of a real knowledge of even the nature of the subject of a study of actual history. Such leaders, who are often very skilled in many other important respects, are about as clever, in matters of historical strategy, as the gaping citizen who has his pocket picked by the nearby pimp or prostitute (not necessarily a British one), while the half-naked entertainer wriggles on stage.

That is what I have been at some pains to clarify here at this point in my presentation. The true art of conquest, lies not in winning wars, but in pre-shaping their causes and outcome. The typical dupe is like the man who leaves work

on payday, but stops at a familiar drinking and gambling place on his way home to the blessings of another week of poverty in his family household. That is where the British empire has usually been smarter than those powers which turn out to be its victims, at the close of the game played, each week, on the way back home. So, on this account, a discharged Chancellor Bismarck warned a foolish German command, that the next war, which would be organized by Britain, would be " new Seven Years War." So, Franklin Roosevelt led the winning of his unavoidable war, but silly Harry Truman and his cronies lost it, as if on the way home, as if to some colony of the British empire, later.

As is shown by the history of Southwest Asia since the close of World War II, even at the time that the British empire was deploying its puppet organization, the "Young Turk" organization, for the subsequent British attempt at takeover of the Ottoman empire—but for Ataturk, the entire history of the so-called Middle East and much of larger Southwest Asia besides, has been the playing of the game of Sykes-Picot, where Arab and Israeli puppets kill one another, on British instructions, chiefly for the purpose of the convenience and amusement of the British Empire, each contestant, like gladiators, dying for public amusement, in an arena: that on almost any, and virtually all days, since the time of the close of World War II.

The warfare in Afghanistan is no exception to this British rule.

The British, as usual, since the 1790s, run the great portion of that world-wide traffic in the narcotics, a traffic which is used, still today, not only for great profit of the empire itself, but also to degrade the minds and bodies of virtually entire, targeted nations, as this was done to China in the Nineteenth Century. That continues to be the case to the present day, throughout the world.

The case of the current U.S. operations in Afghanistan are very much a case in point. While the British control the production of opium in its assigned military territory in Afghanistan, the duped American officials and others are sucked into the by-fall of the warfare into which they have been lured, that, in part, for the profit of the British empire, but, more significantly, the bleeding of London's most hated rival, our United States, a United States which has been bleeding itself over a half a century, all for the ultimate victory of Britain over the United States, in land wars in Asia. Meanwhile, important, but nonetheless silly leading and other Americans, consider the British empire "our nearest and dearest ally."

And, yet, you still insist that you understand history—or, economics!

Who, therefore, should we fight?

II. VICTORY IS AWAITING YOU ON MARS

Unlike the beasts, who inhabit the Biosphere, healthy specimens of human beings are distinguished from the lower orders of life inhabiting the Biosphere, by the possession of that quality of creativity (as distinct from mere "cleverness") unique to members of the human species.

In the related matter of intelligence, the meaning of human life is to be found, not in one's past achievements, but, rather, in one's presently proper place in the future of mankind.

Take as a real-life example of what I intend to convey by that.

Some decades ago, when I was practicing a bit of counter-intelligence on the subject of frequent instances of relatively poor performance by a considerable percentile of consultants with the relatively highest scores in higher education, I crafted a written test, which I complemented with other relevant facts of the cases. I believe that the term best employed to summarize the result, is "Bingo!"

A relatively high percentile of those with the most impressive backgrounds, "on paper," showed the relatively poorest performance when presented with a mission whose task-orientation was uncovering what had appeared to be a stubbornly problem of the type depicted in Edgar Alan Poe's "The Purloined Letter."

I gained some important personal advantages in the effort of dealing with the presence of that sort of mystery.

My focus, at that time I conducted the just-described investigations into what was brought more clearly to my attention a bit later, as Dr. Lawrence Kubie's study of the performance failures among successful graduates in higher ranking academic performers, during their later post-graduate years. This played a significant role in prompting my later, deeper insight into this class of failed professionals with outstanding records for apparent qualifications. The image was of highly-educated fish who therefore drowned when thrown into the water.

In later years, and decades, too, I returned again and again to being confronted by this same form of intellectual failures of the ostensibly superbly qualified (on paper, or on general reputation), when it was made increasingly clear to me, that the performance failures "in the field" of consulting and comparable practice, was a result of precisely those putative academic, or comparable advantages which had produced the paper-evidence of excellence. The fact of the matter was, that they had trained so hard to appear to succeed, that this very orientation toward the substitution of appearance for actuality, had become the source of their failures under "field combat" conditions. Kubie's early 1960s **Daedalus** paper on the subject of what he had earlier identified as the neurotic distortion of the creative process, showed that his treatment of the problem of neurosis broadly, also fit the specific matter of performance failures within the particular domain of scientific creativity.

This phenomenon of the frequent failure of the successful graduate, is legendary, but is usually poorly understood scientifically. The popular, widespread mis-use of the term "practical" among the envious, blames the evils of pedantry on pompous ass and great scientist, alike.

On this account, we can not overlook the role of the post-World War II substitution of the "massiversity" for what had been earlier pre-World War standards of university education in fields of Classics and science. Giant-lecture-hall teaching, and drill-field designs imported to seating in lecture-hall-sized classrooms, fostered such results. "Getting ahead," rather than actually developing one, tended to replace the pleasure of experiencing creativity in oneself as the

motivating factor in educational and comparable experiences. The ration of outright frauds among putatively leading professionals, in and outside academic life today, is very, very large, and has increased at great speed since the adulthood of the academically processed "68ers" and their current harvests of new generations of Laputan-like dupes.

The types of failures which I have broadly outlined in the several preceding paragraphs here, were not as much intellectual failures in the formal academic or related sense, as they were moral failures.

That much said on this particular subject thus far, apply the same form of disorder to the case of the person whose motive in life is "to get ahead" socially and financially, rather than as might be measured in terms of the net mortal outcome measured in benefits of humanity of a mortal human life approaching its termination.

Upward, Through Space

Keep in view the hypothetical case of our preparations for man's launching our future round-trips between Earth-orbit and Mars-orbit. This may appear to some people, to be akin to a spectator's preparing himself to cheer loudly at next year's big sporting event. The fact is, that whenever we introduce the use of those technologies by mankind, the which are of a higher net energy-flux density per capita and per square kilometer, even if the product of that effort is delivered to some place outside our planet Earth, the included effect is to raise the level of productivity, and available standard of living (negentropically), of the population for the present and future inhabitants of Earth itself.

We had a comparable experience in the U.S.A. during World War II. The war required us to upgrade the level of physical productivity inside the U.S.A., per capita and per square kilometer. At the close of the war, we had thus achieved a level of physical productivity beyond all precedents then immediately in view. The problem was, when the war had ended, that, under President Truman, we cut back, even destroyed, much of that potential, and that quickly. That war-time gain had been much less in costly products produced for the war-effort, but in what we would have been enabled to do during the post-war decades, if we had not shut down, or hidden, so much of that knowledge high-technology productive potential as increased productive output and higher rates of growth, as could have been measured in per capita and per square-kilometer through reinvestment, after the war's end.

The fact was, that a continuation of President Franklin Roosevelt's policy, after the war, would have eliminated the imperialist power of the British empire through liberation of what the British ruling oligarchy regarded as "its empire," as Winston Churchill had made exactly that point during the war-time. As soon as President Franklin Roosevelt was dead, Truman and Churchill acted in concert with President Roosevelt's war-time adversary, John Maynard Keynes, to shut down President Roosevelt's intended post-war, anti-imperialist reforms.

The anticipated interjection at this point would be, "But, we had to keep our military secrets from the Soviet Union!" Bunk: *until Truman and the infinitely evil Bertrand Russell superseded the deceased President Franklin Roosevelt, there was no security threat from the Soviet Union!* We of the United States held the great power of the world in our hands, at that point, and, chiefly, apart from those Anglo-Dutch interests, which had, in fact, put Benito Mussolini

and Adolf Hitler into power, before the British realized, in 1940, that they had some serious reasons to regret the outcome of their role in launching Hitler in the first place.

Like the post-World War British habit of ruining the U.S.A. as much as possible through the division of Germany into warring entities, and the perpetual launching of new, prolonged "land wars in Asia," the British empire regained its world power, at American expense, through inducing us to ruin ourselves by starting wars which we had already, honestly and fairly already won. We, ourselves, thus created, under British orders, the new wars which we had already won, by making the new enemies who had just previously wished to consider themselves our partners in building a post-imperialist world order.

It was not only the more obvious British influences which induced us to create new enemies. As in the case of the Soviet Union after the death Franklin Roosevelt admirer Stalin, Nikita Khrushchev cut an agreement with the Bertrand Russell who had been the public proponent of a "preventive nuclear attack" on the Soviet Union for the avowed purpose of creating "world government." My experience with both Yuri Andropov and Gorbachev during the 1980s, was similar. Contrary to such persons, much of the gut of the Soviet Union's post-war development depended upon the role with the Academy of Sciences of figures best typified by the legacy of Academician V.I. Vernadsky.

There is science, not mere speculation in my remarks at this juncture. I speak as follows:

Both in the time of the great Archytas and Plato, when the principle of *dynamis* had reigned in science and the statecraft of the Platonic Academy, and, later, with the identification of the principle of *dynamics* by Gottfried Leibniz, as during his work of the 1690s, the principled organization of societies is located, as Percy Bysshe Shelley emphasized in the conclusion of his **A Defence of Poetry**, in the principled composition of societies, and of what passes for the mysterious power of so-called "public opinion"—as distinct from "pubic opinion"—among a people, during the reign of a specific quality of culture, which is shaped by such forces as either Classical artistic culture and science in the Platonic tradition, or the depravities of the Apollo-Dionysus tradition.

Thus, as Shelley emphasized the notion of the dynamic of a "great people" of a certain culture and its time, it is the direction we supply to society's development, which tends to sweep up the passions of a people in such a way as to cause them to serve the cause of greatness, or evil. It is the management of those trends in passions, which the true great statesmen and poets rely, to shape the higher body of dynamic willfulness of a society. Thus, great statesman are sometimes capable of making allies out of enemies, or, as Churchill and his dupe Truman did, enemies out of allies.

So, the British empire seeks to destroy us, not by making direct warfare upon our territory, but by corrupting us, by inducing us to make ourselves silly and weak, through the influence of what fools consider our "nearest and dearest, oldest" ally, that British empire which has now, finally, nearly succeeded in causing us to destroy ourselves.

The fresh arousal of the commitment to a high priority on a Moon-Mars project directive, is the potentially greatest force for goodness in peoples today.

So, a comparable example of resistance to the British use of its American assets, is found in the history of pioneering in the United States, both in agriculture and in great works of basic economic infrastructure. The U.S. under Presidents Lincoln and Grant, as Franklin Roosevelt later, are paragons on this account.

The improvements in productivity and wealth which the individual may create, are an expressions of the essential difference between the person and beasts, and thus shape the moral character of the relevant nation. Then, there is a contrary policy, and with that, its specific moral effect.

I am not "preaching incentives" here. It is not the reward sought by the prompting use of incentives, but the benefit to society, which is the relevant human, "psychological gain" of the individual participant in progress as measured in wealth per capita and per square kilometer of territory. It is not what many would describe as an "incentive thing;" it is a gain in the realization of the meaning of the human being's sense of the intrinsic worth of a life being lived. It is the difference between looking at yourself as cattle which hope to be better fed, and not being cattle.

As each of your becomes older, each day of passing years, the important question is, especially near the end of life, as the point was made in an Abner Dean cartoon from an edition of **The New Yorker**, decades ago: "What was that all about?"

"The Simultaneity of Eternity"

As I have already emphasized in **The Science of Physical Economy**, when we consider that point of difference, which I identified there as the "Type 'B' " personality, a distinction which separates the realized state of human individuals from beasts, we are led to a clearer insight into the proper choice of meaning for the term "immortality," or, in other words, "a simultaneity of eternity" expressed, uniquely, by those creative potentials of the individual mind which distinguish members of the Noösphere from the animals, plants, and man-made creatures from the bowels of the "Silicon Valley."

The distinction, which is essential, rather than figurative, implicitly locates the identity of the human individual's mental life in the function of those implicitly conscious powers of creativity which are unique to the human individual. Such is the proper definition of the human, individual "immortal soul." This has precise, physical meaning, a meaning typified, for example, by Johannes Kepler's uniquely original discovery of the principle of universal gravitation, as this was originally by Kepler in his **The Harmony of the Worlds**, and given a richer exposition as to the meaning of that discovery, by Albert Einstein.

Once again, that notion of the ontological characteristic of discoverable qualities of efficient universal physical principles, is the that characteristic activity of the human individual's reach beyond the bounds of his, or her mortal existence as a denizen of the Biosphere. This double-characteristic of the immortal human soul, is what is demonstrated by the physical evidence bearing upon the paradoxical relationship between sense-perception and knowledge of physically efficient universal principles, as a perfectly efficient relationship unknown to devoutly worshipful British Liberals.

For example. When we work to "take apart," so to speak,

the "history" of the development of ideas of efficient physical principle on which the emergence of any experimentally demonstrated discovery of a new universal physical principle has depended, we are obliged to trace the parentage of any valid modern such discoverable of principle to extremely remote regions of mankind's much earlier existence. Similarly, what we may add to the stock of such principles, lives on as an expression of our efficient existence into generation of the distant future.

Thus, on such account, the essential interest which occupies the incarnate form of human existence, is not lodged primarily within the bounds of a person's mortal flesh, but in what the most sensitive theologians are able to recognize as a habitation of the efficient human personality within the domain of a so-called "simultaneity of eternity."

It is this notion of the efficient character of a domain nameable as such a "simultaneity of eternity," as, without reasonable doubt, Philo of Alexandria would have agreed, of the endless power of creativity of both the Creator identified in **Genesis 1**, and those made in His likeness. Such is the vision of mankind which all truly great creative human minds, such as the Christian Apostles Paul and John, or Philo of Alexandria have expressed in this matter.

Man's truly principled actions on behalf of mankind, must necessarily reach far beyond the span of mortality of the individual person. Our destiny, is what we are obliged to contribute to cause what the universe we inhabit, must become. This is the nature of that true "pursuit of happiness" which the U.S. Declaration of Independence took from the pen of Gottfried Leibniz. This is what is expressed in the most impassioned moments of conception in the work of Albert Einstein, and the great spiritual lesson expressed by the opening two paragraphs and closing sentence of Bernhard Riemann's 1854 habilitation dissertation.

This is the specific quality of passion which we should muster from within ourselves, not only for the sake of the future of mankind, but for the realization of the intention of those lives which have gone before our own. True science is not ambition, but the joy of devotion to the mission within our universe which is assigned to mankind. It is that mission-orientation which gives the strength for the great mission immediately before us within this Solar system, a mission which will be the source of our joy in the labor thus presented to the future generations of this century.

So, on to Mars.

IN CONCLUSION: CONSTITUTIONAL LAW

There will be foreseeable leading objections to those measures which I have presented for rescuing the present economies from a general economic breakdown-crisis of the planet as a whole. To deal appropriately with the roadblocks which those types of objections represent, I employ this epilogue as my general rebuttal to all among what might be considered to be the two least incredible among those cases.

Let us now consider the two principal among the anticipated objections of a systemic character, which we must anticipate as the likely objections to what I have presented, above, as the underlying principle of my presentation of the constitutional remedies for the grave predicament of our republic and planet alike, at this conjuncture. Objections of that quality of relevance, can be reduced to two types.

To mark out the boundaries of the field on which the arguments are to be played, we must define three principal categories of relevant general philosophy best known for their prevalence among the customs of today's globally extended, ancient, medieval, and modern European civilization.

Those first among those three types, is what may be associated with the name of "the modern Platonic tradition." The two contrary traditions in respect to general principle, which have any systemic relevance for the argument immediately at hand, are fairly identified, respectively, are, first, the ancient Aristotelean dogma, which is also expressed as the method of Euclidean a-prioristic geometry, and, second, that modern empiricist ideology, also known as philosophical "Liberalism," which is to be traced from the rise of the widespread influence of Paolo Sarpi within modern, globally extended, European civilization.

The understanding of the grave practical implications of the systemic differences among those three systems, is crucial for understanding the implicitly fraudulent arguments which we must expect to hear presented by advocates of the latter two of these three, systemically mutually exclusive notions of what are to be presumed as being implicitly rational forms of universal scientific and legal systems.

The case to be presented on that account, is probably best stated by presenting the relevant arguments and their conclusions in the following order.

Of these three categories which may be considered as relevant for modern civilizations, my own standpoint is that expressed in its most modern expression as the standpoint established by Cardinal Nicholas of Cusa,⁵ who has served as the origin of the discoveries in modern science by such as Luca Pacioli, Leonardo da Vinci, Johannes Kepler, Pierre de Fermat, Christian Huyghens, Gottfried Leibniz and his Eighteenth-century followers such as Abraham Kästner, Gotthold Lessing, Abraham Mendelssohn, Friedrich Schiller, Percy Bysshe Shelley, the Ecole Polytechnique circles of France's Gaspard Monge and Lazare Carnot, Carl F. Gauss, and Bernhard Riemann and his followers such as Albert Einstein and Academician V.I. Vernadsky.

That legacy is to be distinguished from such cases as the Karl Weierstrass, the rival and the systematic opponent of Riemann, an opponent who contributed the weakness which developed in the fiercely persecuted, and ultimately broken, Georg Cantor since the appearance of his **Grundlagen**, and to the founding of the positivist current of Ernst Mach and David Hilbert (as distinct from the utterly depraved variety of positivism associated with, speaking mathematically, the infinitely disgusting Bertrand Russell and his depraved followers).

Systemically, the notable adversaries of the Classical school of Plato and his ancient and modern followers, have been chiefly two: first, the Aristoteleans, otherwise identified with the fraudulent premises of reductionist a-priorism

expressed in Euclid's review of the earlier, original discoveries of ancient Greek geometers represented in his **Elements**; and, second, the modern Paolo Sarpi's curious resuscitation of the irrationalism of the medieval William of Ockham, whose system otherwise associated with the irrationalism of both modern Cartesianism, and also the modern Anglo-Dutch Liberalism which is the foundation of the systemic features of the modern Anglo-Dutch Liberal imperialism otherwise known for the imperialist dogmatics of modern monetarism.

The relevant points of distinction to be noted on this account are, chiefly, the following.

Inside Modern Physical Science

Consider Albert Einstein's reading of, and amplifications of the general implications of Johannes Kepler's uniquely original discovery of a general law of gravitation, as to be found only in a complete reading of, and deep reflections upon the complete argument of Kepler's own account of this discovery in his **The Harmony of the Worlds**. Einstein pointed our attention directly to two cases. We are presented, thus, with the contrasting systems of belief. So, on the one hand, we have that typified from ancient times, by the Pythagoreans and Plato; on the other hand, we have the contrasting, common system of Aristotle and his follower Euclid.

Then, there is the third case, that of the irrationalist system, which is typified by Sarpi, Galileo Galilei, and their empiricist followers. For the moment, focus attention on the first two types of systemic argument, Plato versus Aristotle.

The most essential distinction between those two, is between what Kepler himself defined, repeatedly, as two mutually exclusive systems in physical science, as follows:

The Classical argument respecting the contrast between the Platonic and Aristotelean-Euclidean outlook, has been aptly summarized by Philo of Alexandria, a particular argument which can be summarily described as follows.

Philo warns the Jews of the same lifetimes as the leading Christian Apostles, against the fraudulent argument which had been explicitly by a representative of the influence of the Cult of Delphi, Aristotle. He attacks Aristotle as having insisted that once the universe had been created, the Creator himself no longer had the power to change it.

That argument was later copied by the Friedrich Nietzsche, who put the point as "God is dead."⁶ The follower of Aristotle, Euclid, chiefly copied into his own **Elements** the model solutions of earlier Classical Greek geometers, but added those infamous *a-priori* presumptions of his own making which echo Aristotle. The relevant issue of mathematical physics posed by this case, is expressed by the failure of the positivist David Hilbert's effort to complete the process of solving the unproven assumptions of Nineteenth-century mathematics, most notably that of his sixth proposition, a proposition which goes directly to the point of Philo's attack on the theological argument of the Aristoteleans.

To state the implications of my argument here, Albert Einstein recognized the higher of the two conclusions expressed by Kepler's development of the crucial proof for his principle of universal gravitation for the Solar system, in

particular, as the full case was developed in Kepler's **The Harmony of the Worlds**. Einstein presented the higher implication of Kepler's uniquely original discovery of a principle of gravitation: that the universe is finite, but not defined by fixed, external boundaries. To restate that point made by the modern Platonists: *the universe is a self-bounded process of anti-entropic continuing creation.*

The Attack on Cusa

The modern Liberal system of Paolo Sarpi and his followers, is a slightly more complicated strategic proposition.

The root of Sarpi's launching of what was to become known as modern British Liberalism, appeared as a by-product of the conflict between Aristotelianism and the effort to return Europe to the folly of medieval Aristoteleanism, but, this time, in the guise of modern European neo-Aristoteleanism.

The reaction against the emergence of the modern European nation-state around, essentially, the initiatives of Nicholas of Cusa, a reaction as led, politically, typically by the imperial ambitions of the Habsburg interests, an action in the form of the defiance by the Habsburg-centered interest by acts against the emergence of the actuality of modern European sovereign nation-states, as this reaction was typified by the achievements of the first modern nation-state under France's Louis XI and his follower, England's Henry VII. The breaking point in the efforts to block the spread of the modern European, science-driven nation-state, expressed in the Venetian monetarist oligarchy's deployment of its leading spy, Francesco Zorzi, aka "Giorgi," to break England out of the common peace which had been established among France, England, and Spain.

Into that setting, stepped Zorzi in the role of marriage counselor to Henry VIII, a Zorzi operation supplied a supporting flanking role of the Venetian agent Cardinal Pole, a Plantagenet pretender to the English crown, another Venetian agent, Thomas Cromwell, the First Earl of Essex.^z The immediate outcome of this process guided by the Venetian Zorzi, et al., was to promote a state of warfare among the respective three monarchies of England, Spain, and France, which had been bonded to policies of mutual peace up to the point of this development. The continuation of this process was the expansion of the dynastically motivated Spanish Inquisition of Torquemada et al., to become what historians today view as the destruction of European civilization in the virtually permanent state of religious warfare of the 1492-1648 state of religious warfare throughout Europe.

It was out of this interplay of that interval marked at the openly by the Habsburg imperial digestion of what had been the Trastamara dynasty of Sicily and Spain, that Paolo Sarpi and his irrationalist cult of Liberalism was started on the course of becoming the putative imperial ruler of the monetarist world today.

However, in the meanwhile, the efforts to bring about an end to the tyranny of religious warfare in Europe, was brought to temporary fruition under the Cardinal Mazarin and his leading protégé inside France, by Mazarin's protégé, the great Jean-Baptiste Colbert. Such was the setting of the 1648 Peace of Westphalia and its immediate consequence for France and for the great surge of progress in modern science and economy organized under the leadership of Colbert.

Into this situation came the Venetian agent Abbe Antonio S. Conti, the hand behind Voltaire, who was to play a crucial role in organizing the effort to eradicate real science from Europe through the use of the counterfeit scientific figure and black-magic specialist Isaac Newton. These operations by the followers of Sarpi, culminated in the Seven Years War, which produced what became the private empire of Lord Shelburne's British East India Company, and the relative hegemony globally, of the pseudo-scientific empiricism of Paolo Sarpi, still today.

The systemic shaping of these 1492-2009 developments in the history of both European science and the general history of imperialist developments throughout the world since 1492, produced the phenomenon of Anglo-Dutch Liberal empiricism today, and the role of the British world monetarist empire of today. .

Sarpi versus Machiavelli

To understand the process which provoked the shift, under Paolo Sarpi's leadership, from Aristotle to the doctrine of Ockhamism, we must recognize the crucial effects of the great work of Nicolo Machiavelli's defense of the republican cause which he had inherited from the avowed follower of Cardinal Nicholas of Cusa known as Leonardo da Vinci.

The coming-into-being of the British-led monetarist world system now plunging into a global new dark age now, was a product of the conflict between the legacy of the great ecumenical Council of Florence and the associated roles of Filippo Brunelleschi and Cardinal Nicholas of Cusa, and the medievalist, Venice-monetarist-centered faction, from the Council of Florence onward. The role of physical and related science associated, most typically, with Cusa and his tradition became the central strategic figure defining the great strategic issues of all modern history from that time to the present day. The crucial breaking-point in that conflict came with the crushing of the Republic of Florence; the role of a former secondary leader of that Republic, Nicolo Machiavelli, remains, a wildly libelled keystone in the history of modern European civilization since that time.

The key to that entire interval of history, between A.D. 1492 and the 1648 Peace of Westphalia, most efficiently and succinctly expressed by reference to the role of Machiavelli's role in defining the foundations of such crucial features of modern republican statecraft's modern military strategy and tactics. The essential features of the account of this role, is located in the effect of the progress of physical science on the outcome of certain changes in strategy and tactics which had been pioneering, to relatively most significant effect, by France's Louis XI and under England's Henry VII. It was the inherent incompetence of the Cultural-philosophical outlook inherent in the morally inferior Habsburg faction, when faced with the expressed forms of relevant impact of the work of Cardinal Nicholas of Cusa and his successors, which created the great strategic crisis embedded in the A.D. 1545-1563 Council of Trent, and the opportunity exploited by the circles represented by the Paolo Sarpi who exploited his own account of that Council's work to create an curious variant of the Aristotelean dogma, a variant adopted by Sarpi from the precedent of the medieval William of Ockham.

This can probably be better appreciated, respecting the relevant comparison, for today, by seeing a connection with the crisis posed by the development of nuclear and thermonuclear weaponry since 1945.

The rise of European civilization from the aftermath of the great Fourteenth-century New Dark Age, had not merely weakened, temporarily, the monetarist power of the Venetian imperial monetarist oligarchy. The cultural and economic surge provided by the Church councils of that period had led to the opportunity for the great new ecumenical Council of Florence, with associated effects such as the particular scientific-revolutionary discoveries by Filippo Brunelleschi and the founding of a comprehensive expression of modern European science and statecraft prompted by, most notably, Cusa's **Concordancia Catholica** (the modern sovereign nation-state) and **De Docta Ignorantia** (the principled foundations of the revolutionary founding of modern universal science). The progress of physical science itself, together with the initiatives in statecraft by France's Louis XI and by the frustrated initiative of the Republic of Florence, unleashed an impetus within European civilization in European civilization and beyond, which is most clearly epitomized by that relatively short-term assembly of nations called "The League of Armed Neutrality" whose defeat of the British empire during the Eighteenth Century was to create the essential circumstances for the establishment of the U.S.A. as a secured constitutional republic of a unique kind.

The principal strategic mission of the British empire since that time, has been the long-ranging intention to destroy that United States (but, only our truly witting American patriots really understand that).

So, the great religious warfare of 1492-1648, had proceeded, since the time of Christopher Columbus's first trans-Atlantic voyage, from a conflict between the legacies of two great cultures, the republic Platonic and the monetarist-oligarchical Aristotelean. The advantage of the insurgent, Platonic faction, had the essentially innate strategic advantage of its Classical cultural tradition of artistic and scientific creative genius, a factor of the modern tradition of that time which tended to give a durable strategic advantage to the otherwise often weaker raw physical power than the current oligarchical forms of the globally dominant pro-Aristotelean institutions. The nature of those chiefly moral-intellectual margins of advantage held by the otherwise strategically weaker republican cause, created the condition of strategic crisis reflected in the famous A.D. 1545-1563 Council of Trent.

The essential issue so posed was that of Plato-vs.-Aristotle: the conflict between fundamental scientific progress, versus the philosophical images of a neo-Olympian Zeus and Aristotle. It was the moral advantage of the commitment to scientific and related progress of the great ecumenical Council of Florence, which sustained the innovative struggle for human freedom from the side of the republican forces. This ironical feature of the time was expressed in manifold aspects of culture, strategy, against the innately moral and scientific superiority of the republican opponents of the pro-Aristotelean cause, republican forces engaged against the oligarchical forces associated with the imperial power commanded by the Habsburg empire.

So, the Machiavelli held in the semi-captivity of parole since his official role in the Republic of Florence, used his creativity and his pen to menace the cause of oligarchism through the Sixteenth-century and even far beyond his own death, when he enjoyed a highly honored status in the military science of modern Europe.

Hence, faced with the impact of Machiavelli's challenge to the oligarchical cause, Paolo Sarpi's orientation became crucial in contending for leadership of the modern oligarchical cause against the Habsburg imperialists. Sarpi

positioned himself and his followers against both the Classical science of the followers of Cardinal Nicholas of Cusa, and also the Habsburg-led, pro-Aristotelean oligarchical faction.

Sarpi allowed technological innovation under a reformed version of oligarchism, but worked as feverishly as the overtly depraved Habsburg faction to stamp out the Platonic principle of scientific progress itself. Hence, the result of Sarpi's influence was what became known as the moral indifferentism of modern, heathen, behaviorist form known as Anglo-Dutch philosophical Liberalism of Rene Descartes, Abbe Antonio S. Conti, Voltaire, et al.

The way out of the resulting stalemated situation among the contending factions in Europe itself, including the Ockhamite Liberalism's Seventeenth-Century capture of England the Netherlands, and also Iberian Atlantic-oriented maritime settlements in the Americas, left the cause of republican progress no option but to follow the Mayflower Company, the Massachusetts Bay Colony, and what became later the "New Machiavellian" faction of Benjamin Franklin, to develop a truly republican nation-state in North America, a republic state which brought with it the cultural achievements of European without the corruption of the European oligarchical traditions.

Once that history of the Sixteenth and Eighteenth centuries of European civilization is taken into account, the true significance of Machiavelli's role in the origins of modern republican military strategy, as by the friends of Friedrich Schiller, in the mission-oriented realization of science and engineering, is clarified strategically.

Science Versus Gimmickry

The essence of the evil expressed by the avowed Ockhamites Paolo Sarpi, his lackey Galileo, and their successor Abbe Antonio S. Conti, lies in the role with they acquired through their use of the Anglo-Dutch "Liberals" hoax of empiricism. With respect to their rivals, the pathetic Aristoteleans, the Liberals could pretend to use the products of actual science, which they counterfeited, to gain precedence over the Aristoteleans, and to play their predecessors, the Aristoteleans, by plagiarizing the discoveries of the heirs of the science of Cardinal Nicholas of Cusa, while, as the Liberals laid fraudulent claims to the discovery of gravitation, the calculus and kindred discoveries of the followers of such as Brunelleschi and Cusa, while suppressing, but nonetheless claimed to be the patent-holders on the falsely claimed principles which they counterfeited with fraudulent intent. In this way, they concocted a fraud, called science, in the forged name of science.

However, the essential evil which these followers of Sarpi, Galileo, and Conti represented, was no different, in the final analysis than that of the character, the Satanic Olympian Zeus, of Aeschylus' **Prometheus Bound**. The common feature of the followers of Aristotle and Sarpi, is the same evil to which Philo of Alexandria pointed in his attack on the pro-Satanic fraud which the philosophy of Aristotle embedded in the guise of the a-priori assertions of Euclid's **Elements**.

Indeed, as the policies of such as the World Wildlife Fund of Britain's Prince Philip, et al., attests, the efforts of the Anglo-Dutch Liberals to appear to promote a curious perverse version of scientific progress, halts when the republican currents of continental Europe and North America are deemed sufficiently weakened by

"environmentalist" forms of moral rot, that the Liberals move, as now, in their effort to eradicate all semblance of modern science.

In the revised edition of his opera, **Otello**, the great Giuseppe Verdi adopted his collaborator Arrigo Boito's addition of the monologue of Iago as a Satanic character put on the Opera stage. The intention was to get behind the scenes where the soul of Shakespeare's Iago could reveal itself. Essentially, the attempt succeeded theatrically. The essence of the true Venetian soul stood as if alive on stage before the audience. I know this with certainty, since my truest adversaries are exactly like that, alike in character and in mission respecting the fate of the peoples and nations of all mankind. Boito's *Iago* is the virtual incarnation both the roles of John Maynard Keynes, the Prince Philip of the World Wildlife Fund, and of that imp and the lying former British Prime Minister Tony Blair. They are both echoes of the Lord Shelburne who invoked the evil Adam Smith and the Satanic Jeremy Bentham, too.

Footnotes

1.

Contrary to the intrinsically incompetent, but nonetheless customary methods of statistical economic forecasting, the trends of developments at any point in the clocked time of a process, depends upon a combination of developments whose measure must be combined, to a single effect, to be measured as potential, a combination which includes a causal basis in developments of an earlier time, and also the reasonable expectation of developments still to occur in some future time. In short: this is a matter of a study in *dynamics*, as Gottfried Leibniz defined modern *dynamics* in the course of his demolishing the pretensions respecting science by the foolish Rene Descartes and, later, after Leibniz's own death, by behaviorist heirs of Descartes such as Adam Smith and Jeremy Bentham.

2.

Notably, this argument in the matter of principle is appropriately recognized as an implication of the concept of a "Type 'B' " personality which I treat in the course of my "The Science of Physical Economy" (**Executive Intelligence Review**, September 18, 2009 Vol. 36 No. 36 www.larouche.com).

3.

It is important, today, to revisit the work of Planck and his friend Wolfgang Koehler from the later vantage-point of Vernadsky's categorical distinction of Noösphere from Biosphere. The categorical distinction of the *noëtic* cognitive powers specific to the human individual, from the "mentality of apes," acknowledges anti-entropic, biological creativity in the specific as well as varietal advances in animal and plant species, but willful forms of specifically, systemically anti-entropic, human creativity, is uniquely specific to the human individual. In other words, the universe as a whole is pervasively anti-entropic. but only the human individual is specifically capable of exerting anti-entropic changes in the system of nature by means of a conscious, *noëtic* act of will. The implications for science today, of the relationships among Planck and Koehler, and of Planck with Einstein, and the implications of the convergence of Einstein and Vernadsky which are being pursued, in international collaborative efforts, by Sky Shields and others among "The basement crew."

4.

Typical of the evil of the German and French existentialist movements of the 1920s through 1930s, is the influence of not only the sometime Nazi Martin Heidegger, but also the role of his 1930s Frankfurt School associates, Hannah Arendt and Theodor Adorno, in the setting of the publication of the swindle known as the dogma of **The Authoritarian Personality**.

5.

Nicholas of Cusa (A.D. 1401-1461). N.B. **Concordancia Catholica** (Which introduced the principle of the modern sovereign nation-state, A.D. 1433-34) and **De Docta Ignorantia** (the founding of the general principle of all competent expressions of modern physical and related science, (A.D. 1440).

6.

So, what. The relevant, sometimes stated fact is, that, both Aristotle and Nietzsche are dead.

7.

The female praying mantis is noted for eating of the head of her innamorata during copulation. After Zorzi had effected one divorce on behalf of Henry VIII, Henry turned to cutting off the heads of the wives with whom he had been copulating.